

Lecture 14

Lecturer: Ronitt Rubinfeld

Scribe: Anton Trygub

We denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by $[n]$.

Our goal for today is testing monotonicity. We consider distributions over domain $[n]$.

1 Introduction

Definition 1 (Monotone decreasing) A distribution p over set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is **monotone decreasing**, if $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ holds $p(i) \geq p(i+1)$.

Definition 2 (ϵ -far from monotone decreasing) A distribution p over $[n]$ is **ϵ -far from being monotone decreasing**, if for every monotone decreasing distribution q over $[n]$, $\|p - q\|_1 \geq \epsilon$.

As a reminder, $\|p - q\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |p(i) - q(i)|$.

We are looking for a monotonicity tester with the following properties:

- If p is monotone decreasing, **pass** with probability $\geq \frac{3}{4}$
- If p is ϵ -far from monotone decreasing, **reject** with probability $\geq \frac{3}{4}$.

Morale time: If you don't have the strength to stay up at night to work on the problems, you can still be a researcher!

For testing monotonicity, the following tool will be very useful:

Definition 3 (Birge decomposition) Decompose the domain $[n]$ into $l = \Theta(\frac{\log \epsilon n}{\epsilon}) \sim \Theta(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon})$ intervals $I_1^\epsilon, I_2^\epsilon, \dots, I_l^\epsilon$, such that $|I_{k+1}^\epsilon| = \lfloor (1 + \epsilon)^k \rfloor$

This decomposition is called **Birge decomposition**.

Notes:

- The last segment might have a smaller length.
- We will drop ϵ -superscription in the future.
- We will use the terms "intervals," "partitions," "buckets" interchangeably for I_1, I_2, \dots, I_l .
- $\Theta(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ of these intervals have length 1.

Definition 4 (Flattened distribution) For any distribution q on $[n]$, and ϵ , define the flattened distribution \tilde{q}_ϵ as follows:

$$\forall j \in [l], \forall i \in I_j, \text{ define } \tilde{q}_\epsilon(i) = \frac{q(I_j)}{|I_j|}, \text{ where } q(I_j) = \sum_{i \in I_j} q(i)$$

In other words, we "flatten" the distribution in each of the intervals of Birge decomposition. Note that it immediately follows that $q(I_j) = \tilde{q}_\epsilon(I_j)$.

Let's also denote the maximum and the minimum probabilities of elements from I_j by \max_j, \min_j correspondingly. Note that $\max_j \leq \min_{j-1}$.

2 Proof of Birge's theorem

Theorem 5 (Birge's) *If q is a monotone decreasing distribution, then $\|\tilde{q}_\epsilon - q\|_1 \leq \epsilon$.*

Proof

Consider the error in a single bucket I_j . Clearly, it doesn't exceed $(\max_j - \min_j) \cdot |I_j|$.
Let's divide buckets into three groups:

- Size 1 intervals: I_j with $|I_j| = 1$
- Short intervals: I_j with $1 < |I_j| < \frac{1}{\epsilon}$
- Long intervals: I_j with $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \leq |I_j|$

Then our error doesn't exceed:

$$\sum_{j=1}^l (\max_j - \min_j) \cdot |I_j| = \sum_{|I_j|=1} (\max_j - \min_j) \cdot 0 + \sum_{I_j \text{ short}} (\max_j - \min_j) \cdot |I_j| + \sum_{I_j \text{ long}} (\max_j - \min_j) \cdot |I_j|$$

Disclaimer: The actual proof is very technical and contains many details. We will only give proof that the error doesn't exceed $O(\epsilon)$, but it should be enough for the intuition and all practical needs.

2.1 Large intervals

So, let's look at the bound for large intervals. Let's suppose that I_{k+1} is the first long interval. Then:

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^l (\max_j - \min_j) \cdot |I_j| \leq \sum_{j=k+1}^l (\min_{j-1} - \min_j) \cdot |I_j| \leq \min_k \cdot |I_{k+1}| + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1} \min_j \cdot (|I_{j+1}| - |I_j|)$$

Now, let's note that if $|I_j| \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, then

$$|I_{j+1}| = \lfloor (1 + \epsilon)^j \rfloor \leq (1 + \epsilon)^j \leq (|I_j| + 1)(1 + \epsilon) = |I_j| + |I_j|\epsilon + (1 + \epsilon) < |I_j| + 3|I_j|\epsilon$$

So

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1} \min_j \cdot (|I_{j+1}| - |I_j|) \leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1} \min_j \cdot 3\epsilon |I_j|$$

Note that this sum doesn't exceed 3ϵ times the area under all long segments, so this doesn't exceed 3ϵ .

Now, let's bound $\min_k \cdot |I_{k+1}|$. Note that $\min_k (|I_1| + |I_2| + \dots + |I_k|) \leq 1$. We will show that $|I_{k+1}| \leq 4\epsilon (|I_1| + |I_2| + \dots + |I_k|)$. Indeed:

$$|I_1| + |I_2| + \dots + |I_k| \geq \frac{1}{2} ((1 + \epsilon)^0 + (1 + \epsilon)^1 + \dots + (1 + \epsilon)^{k-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^k - 1}{\epsilon} \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^k}{\epsilon} \geq \frac{1}{4\epsilon} |I_{k+1}|$$

Then $\min_k \cdot |I_{k+1}| \leq 4\epsilon \cdot \min_k (|I_1| + |I_2| + \dots + |I_k|) \leq 4\epsilon$.

So, the error in the long intervals doesn't exceed 7ϵ .

2.2 Short intervals

Now, let's deal with short intervals – those, for which $1 < |I_j| < \frac{1}{\epsilon}$

Again, for segment I_j , we will bound error on it by $(\max_j - \min_j) \cdot |I_j| \leq (\min_{j-1} - \min_j) \cdot |I_j|$.

First, note that all numbers from 2 to $\lfloor \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rfloor$ appear among the lengths of the intervals. By contradiction, suppose that some integer $k \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ doesn't. Then there is some j such that $|I_j| \leq k-1, |I_{j+1}| \geq k+1$. But then

$$1 + \epsilon = \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^j}{(1 + \epsilon)^{j-1}} > \frac{k+1}{k} = 1 + \frac{1}{k}$$

So $k > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, contradiction.

Now, let a_k be the smallest number with $|I_{a_k}| = k$, and c be largest integer smaller than $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Then, rewrite:

$$\sum_{I_j \text{ short}} (\min_{j-1} - \min_j) \cdot |I_j| \sum_{k=2}^c \sum_{j=a_k}^{j=a_{k+1}-1} k(\min_{j-1} - \min_j) \leq 2\min_{a_2-1} + \min_{a_3-1} + \dots + \min_{a_c-1}$$

Let k_i be the number of partitions with length $2 \leq i \leq c$. It means that there is some j with $|I_j| = i-1$ and $|I_{j+k_i+1}| = i+1$. Then

$$(1 + \epsilon)^{k_i+1} = \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^{j+k_i}}{(1 + \epsilon)^{j-1}} \geq \frac{i+1}{i} = 1 + \frac{1}{i}$$

Now, write

$$\frac{i+1}{i} \leq (1 + \epsilon)^{k_i+1} \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \epsilon)^{k_i+1}} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon(k_i + 1)}$$

After taking inverse, this is equivalent to:

$$1 - \frac{1}{i+1} \geq 1 - \epsilon(k_i + 1) \iff (k_i + 1)(i + 1) \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

From the last inequality it clearly follows that $k_i i \geq \frac{1}{4\epsilon}$ (for $i \geq 2$). The same thing can be said about k_1 (we will omit this bound).

Note that $k_i i$ is the total length of intervals of length i . So,

$$1 \geq \sum_{l=1}^c k_l i \cdot \min_{a_{l+1}-1} \geq \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \sum_{l=1}^c \min_{a_{l+1}-1} \Rightarrow \sum_{l=1}^c \min_{a_{l+1}-1} \leq 4\epsilon$$

It immediately follows that

$$\sum_{I_j \text{ short}} (\min_{j-1} - \min_j) \cdot |I_j| \leq 2\min_{a_2-1} + \min_{a_3-1} + \dots + \min_{a_c-1} \leq 8\epsilon$$

■

Corollary 6 *If q is ϵ -close to monotone decreasing, then $\|\tilde{q}_\epsilon - q\|_1 < O(\epsilon)$*

Proof Consider some monotone decreasing p with $\|q - p\|_1 \leq \epsilon$. Then it's not hard to see that $\|\tilde{q}_\epsilon - \tilde{p}_\epsilon\|_1 \leq \epsilon$ too. This follows from the following fact: for any array a, b of length n ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i - b_i| \geq n \left| \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} - \frac{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n}{n} \right|$$

This is just $|c_1| + |c_2| + \dots + |c_n| \geq \|c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_n\|$ for $c_i = a_i - b_i$. Then, apply this inequality to every bucket.

Now, we have the following inequalities: $\|q - p\|_1 \leq \epsilon$, $\|p - \tilde{p}_\epsilon\|_1 \leq \epsilon$, $\|\tilde{p}_\epsilon - \tilde{q}_\epsilon\|_1 \leq \epsilon$. By the triangle inequality, we get $\|\tilde{q}_\epsilon - q\|_1 \leq 3\epsilon$. ■

3 Monotonicity Tester

3.1 Algorithm

Let's devise the following testing algorithm. For some ϵ_1 that we will choose later, do:

1. Take a set S of $m = \tilde{O}(\sqrt{n} \cdot \text{poly}(\log n, \frac{1}{\epsilon}))$ samples of q .
2. For each Birge partition I_j , let S_j be the set of samples that fall in I_j ($S_j = S \cap I_j$). Do a uniformity test on each such interval. If greater than ϵ_1 -fraction of samples are in a failing interval, output **Reject**.
3. Define $\hat{w}_j = \frac{|S_j|}{m}$ as the estimate of $q(I_j)$.
4. Define q^* as follows: for all $i \in I_j$, $q^*(i) = \frac{\hat{w}_j}{|I_j|}$ as the estimate of q^* .
5. Use linear programming to verify that w is ϵ_1 -close to monotone (note that this is an LP on $O(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon})$ variables, so it's feasible). If it is, output **Pass**, otherwise **Reject**.

3.2 Analysis

3.2.1 Monotone decreasing distributions

Consider any monotone decreasing distribution q . We know that $\|q - \tilde{q}_\epsilon\| \leq \epsilon$, and (with Chernoff bounds) that it will pass the uniformity test, and that $\|\tilde{q}_\epsilon - q^*\|$ won't exceed ϵ . Then, q^* will be at most 2ϵ -far from monotone decreasing.

3.3 ϵ -far from monotone decreasing distributions

If a distribution is likely to pass, then it's almost uniform on all its Birge's partitions, and its q^* is close to monotone decreasing, so we would get that the distribution itself is also close to monotone decreasing.

4 Learning monotone decreasing distributions

It turns out that we can learn such distributions up to an ϵ error in the L_1 error in $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \log n)$ samples. The intuition is that, by Birge's theorem, it's enough to estimate \tilde{q}_ϵ , which is constant on $O(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon})$ segments.

Happy Halloween!