L.D. Fosdick and Algorithms A.K. Cline, Editors

Submittal of an algorithm for consideration for publication in Communications of the ACM implies unrestricted use of the algorithm within a computer is permissible.

Copyright © 1975, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. General permission to republish, but not for profit, all or part of this material is granted, provided that ACM's copyright notice is given and that reference is made to the publication, to its date of issue, and to the fact that reprinting privileges were granted by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery.

Algorithm 489

The Algorithm SELECT—for Finding the *i*th Smallest of *n* Elements [M1]

Robert W. Floyd [Recd 26 Sept. 1974] Computer Science Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305 and Ronald L. Rivest, M.I.T. Project MAC, 545 Technology Square, Cambridge, MA 02139

Key Words and Phrases: selection, medians, quantiles CR Categories: 5.30, 5.39

Language: Algol (not strictly Algol 60)

Description

SELECT will rearrange the values of array segment X[L : R]so that X[K] (for some given K; $L \leq K \leq R$) will contain the (K-L+1)-th smallest value, $L \leq I \leq K$ will imply $X[I] \leq X[K]$, and $K \leq I \leq R$ will imply $X[I] \geq X[K]$. While SELECT is thus functionally equivalent to Hoare's algorithm FIND [1], it is significantly faster on the average due to the effective use of sampling to determine the element T about which to partition X. The average time over 25 trials required by SELECT and FIND to determine the median of *n* elements was found experimentally to be:

п	500	1000	5000	10000
SELECT	89 ms.	141 ms.	493 ms.	877 ms.
FIND	104 ms.	197 ms.	1029 ms.	1964 ms.

The arbitrary constants 600, .5, and .5 appearing in the algorithm minimize execution time on the particular machine used. SELECT has been shown to run in time asymptotically proportional to $N + \min(I, N-I)$, where N = L - R + 1 and I = K - L + 1. A lower bound on the running time within 9 percent of this value has also been proved [2]. Sites [3] has proved SELECT terminates.

The neater Algol 68 construct: while (boolean expression) do (statement) is used here instead of the Algol 60 equivalent: for dummy := 1 while (boolean expression) do (statement)

References

1. Hoare, C.A.R. Algorithm 63 (PARTITION) and Algorithm 65 (*FIND*), *Comm. ACM 4* (July 1961), 321. 2. Floyd, Robert W., and Ronald L. Rivest. Expected time bounds for selection. Stanford CSD Rep. No. 349, Apr., 1973). 3. Sites, Richard. Some thoughts on proving clean termination of programs. Stanford CSD Rep. 417, May 1974.

Algorithm

procedure SELECT (X,L,R,K); value L,R,K; integer L,R,K; array X;

begin

integer N, I, J, S, SD, LL, RR; real Z, T; while R > L do

begin

if R - L > 600 then

begin

comment Use SELECT recursively on a sample of size S to get an estimate for the (K-L+1)-th smallest element into X[K], biased slightly so that the (K-L+1)-th element is expected to lie in the smaller set after partitioning

$$N: = R - L + 1;$$

$$I := K - L + 1;$$

$$Z := ln(N);$$

$$SD := .5 \times exp(2 \times Z/3);$$

$$SD := .5 \times sqrt(Z \times S \times (N-S)/N) \times sign(I-N/2);$$

$$LL := max(L,K-I \times S/N+SD);$$

$$RR := min(R,K+(N-I) \times S/N+SD);$$

$$RR := min(R, K+(N-I) \times S/N+SD);$$

SELECT(X,LL,RR,K)

end; T := X[K];

comment The following code partitions X[L : R] about T. It is similar to PARTITION but will run faster on most machines since subscript range checking on I and J has been eliminated.;

I:=L;J := R;exchange(X[L],X[K]);if X[R] > T then exchange(X[R], X[L]);while I < J do begin exchange(X[I],X[J]);I := I + 1; J := J - 1;while X[I] < T do I := I + 1; while X[J] > T do J := J - 1; end; if X[L] = T then exchange(X[L], X[J])else begin J := J + 1; exchange(X[J],X[R]) end; comment Now adjust L, R so they surround the subset containing the (K-L+1)-th smallest element; if $J \leq K$ then L := J + 1; if $K \leq J$ then R := J - 1; end

end SELECT

Communications of the ACM

March 1975 Volume 18 Number 3