

## This week's Topics

Shannon's Work.

- Mathematical/Probabilistic Model of Communication.
- Definitions of Information, Entropy, Randomness.
- Noiseless Channel & Coding Theorem.
- Noisy Channel & Coding Theorem.
- Converses.
- Algorithmic challenges.

Detour from Error-correcting codes?

## Shannon's Framework (1948)

Three entities: Source, Channel, and Receiver.

**Source:** Generates "message" - a sequence of bits/ symbols - according to some "stochastic" process  $S$ .

**Communication Channel:** Means of passing information from source to receiver. May introduce errors, where the error sequence is another stochastic process  $E$ .

**Receiver:** Knows the processes  $S$  and  $E$ , but would like to know what sequence was generated by the source.

## Goals/Options

- Noiseless case: Channel precious commodity. Would like to optimize usage.
- Noisy case: Would like to recover message despite errors.
- Source can "Encode" information.
- Receiver can "Decode" information.

Theories are very general: We will describe very specific cases only!

## Noiseless case: Example

- Channel transmits bits:  $0 \rightarrow 0, 1 \rightarrow 1$ .  
1 bit per unit of time.
- Source produces a sequence of independent bits:  $0$  with probability  $1 - p$  and  $1$  with probability  $p$ .
- Question: Expected time to transmit  $n$  bits, generated by this source?

## Noiseless Coding Theorem (for Example)

Let  $H_2(p) = -(p \log_2 p + (1-p) \log_2(1-p))$ .

Noiseless Coding Theorem: Informally, expected time  $\rightarrow H(p) \cdot n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Formally, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0$  s.t. for every  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$\exists E : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$  and  $D : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  s.t.

- For all  $x \in \{0,1\}^n, D(E(x)) = x$ .
- $\mathbf{E}_x[|E(x)|] \leq (H(p) + \epsilon)n$ .

Proof: Exercise.

## Entropy of a source

- Distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on finite set  $S$  is  $\mathcal{D} : S \rightarrow [0,1]$  with  $\sum_{x \in S} \mathcal{D}(x) = 1$ .
- Entropy:  $H(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{x \in S} -\mathcal{D}(x) \log_2 \mathcal{D}(x)$ .
- Entropy of  $p$ -biased bit  $H_2(p)$ .
- Entropy quantifies randomness in a distribution.
- Coding theorem: Suffices to specify entropy # of bits (amortized, in expectation) to specify the point of the probability space.
- Fundamental notion in probability/information theory.

## Binary Entropy Function $H_2(p)$

- Plot  $H(p)$ .
- Main significance?
  - Let  $B_2(y, r) = \{x \in \{0,1\}^n | \Delta(x, y) \leq r\}$  ( $n$  implied).
  - Let  $\text{Vol}_2(r, n) = |B_2(0, r)|$ .
  - Then  $\text{Vol}_2(pn, n) = 2^{(H(p)+o(1))n}$

## Noisy Case: Example

- Source produces 0/1 w.p. 1/2.
- Error channel: Binary Symmetric Channel with probability  $p$  ( $\text{BSC}_p$ ), transmits 1 bit per unit of time faithfully with probability  $1-p$  and flips it with probability  $p$ .
- Goal: How many source bits can be transmitted in  $n$  time units?
  - Can permit some error in recovery.
  - Error probability during recovery should be close to zero.
- Prevailing belief: Can only transmit  $o(n)$  bits.

## Noisy Coding Theorem (for Example)

Theorem: (Informally) Can transmit  $(1 - H(p)) \cdot n$  bits, with error probability going to zero exponentially fast.

(Formally)  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. for all  $n$ :

Let  $k = (1 - H(p + \epsilon))n$ . Then  $\exists E : \{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $\exists D : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^k$  s.t.

$$\Pr_{\eta, x} [D(E(x) + \eta) \neq x] \leq \exp(-\delta n),$$

where  $x$  is chosen according to the source and  $\eta$  independently according to  $BSC_p$ .

## The Encoding and Decoding Functions

- $E$  chosen at random from all functions mapping  $\{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ .
- $D$  chosen to be the brute force algorithm - for every  $y$ ,  $D(y)$  is the vector  $x$  that minimizes  $\Delta(E(x), y)$ .
- Far from constructive!!!
- But its a proof of concept!
- Main lemma: For  $E, D$  as above, the probability of decoding failure is exponentially small, for any fixed message  $x$ .
- Power of the probabilistic method!

## Proof of Lemma

- Will fix  $x \in \{0, 1\}^k$  and  $E(x)$  first and pick error  $\eta$  next, and then the rest of  $E$  last!
- $\eta$  is *Bad* if it has weight more than  $(p + \epsilon)n$ .

$$\Pr_{\eta} [\eta \text{Bad}] \leq 2^{-\delta n}$$

(Chernoff bounds).

- $x'$  *Bad* for  $x, \eta$  if  $E(x') \in B_2(E(x) + \eta, (p + \epsilon)n)$ .

$$\Pr_{E(x')} [x' \text{Bad for } x, \eta] \leq 2^{H(p + \epsilon)n} / 2^n$$

- $\Pr_E [\exists x' \text{ Bad for } x, \eta] \leq 2^{k + H(p) \cdot n - n}$

- If  $\eta$  is not *Bad*, and no  $x' \neq x$  is *Bad* for  $x$ , then  $D(E(x) + \eta) = x$ .
- Conclude that decoding fails with probability at most  $e^{-\Omega(n)}$ , over random choice of  $E, \eta$  (for every  $x$ , and so also if  $x$  is chosen at random).
- Conclude there exists  $E$  such that encoding and decoding lead to exponentially small error probability, provided  $k + H(p) \cdot n \ll n$ .

## Converse to Coding Theorems

- Shannon also showed his results to be tight.
- For noisy case,  $1 - H(p)$  is the best possible rate ...
- ... no matter what  $E, D$  are!
- How to prove this?
- Intuition: Say we transmit  $E(x)$ . W.h.p. # erroneous bits is  $\approx pn$ . In such case, symmetry implies no one received vector is likely w.p. more than  $\binom{n}{pn} \approx 2^{-H(p)n}$ . To have error probability close to zero, at least  $2^{H(p)n}$  received vectors must decode to  $x$ . But then need  $2^k \leq 2^n / 2^{H(p)n}$ .

## Formal proof of the Converse

- $\eta$  Easy if weight  $\leq (p - \epsilon)n$ .  $\Pr_\eta[\eta \text{ Easy}] \leq \exp(-n)$ . For any  $y$  of weight  $\geq (p - \epsilon)n$ ,  $\Pr[\eta = y] \leq 2^{-H(p-\epsilon)n}$ .
- For  $x \in \{0, 1\}^k$  let  $S_x \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n = \{y | D(y) = x\}$ . Have  $\sum_x |S_x| = 2^n$ .
- $\Pr[\text{Decoding correctly}]$ 
$$= 2^{-k} \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^k} \sum_{y \in S_x} \Pr_\eta[\eta = y - E(x)]$$
$$= \Pr_\eta[\eta \text{ Easy}] + 2^{-k} \sum_x \sum_{y \in S_x} \Pr_\eta[\eta = y - E(x) | \eta \text{ H.}]$$
$$= \exp(-n) + 2^{-k} \cdot 2^{-H(p)n} \cdot 2^n$$
$$= \exp(-n)$$

## Importance of Shannon's Framework

- Examples considered so far are the baby examples!
- Theory is wide and general.
- But, essentially probabilistic + "information-theoretic" not computational.
- For example, give explicit  $E$ ! Give efficient  $D$ ! Shannon's work does not.

## More general source

- Allows for Markovian sources.
- Source described by a finite collection of states with a probability transition matrix.
- Each state corresponds to a fixed symbol of the output.
- Interesting example in the original paper: Markovian model of English. Computes the rate of English!

## More general models of error

- i.i.d. case generally is a transition matrix from  $\Sigma$  to  $\Gamma$ . ( $\Sigma, \Gamma$  need not be finite! (Additive White Gaussian Channel). Yet capacity might be finite.)
- Also allows for Markovian error models. May be captured by a state diagram, with each state having its own transition matrix from  $\Sigma$  to  $\Gamma$ .

## General theorem

- Every source has a Rate (based on entropy of the distribution it generates).
- Every channel has a Capacity.

Theorem: If Rate < Capacity, information transmission is feasible with error decreasing exponentially with length of transmission. If Rate > Capacity, information transmission is not feasible.

## Contrast with Hamming

- Main goal of Shannon Theory:
  - Constructive (polytime/linear-time/etc.)  $E, D$ .
  - Maximize rate =  $k/n$  where  $E : \{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ .
  - While minimizing  $P_{\text{err}} = \Pr_{x, \eta}[D(E(x) + \eta) \neq x]$
- Hamming theory:
  - Explicit description of  $\{E(x)\}_x$ .
  - No focus on  $E, D$  itself.
  - Maximize  $k/n$  and  $d/n$ , where  $d = \min_{x_1, x_2} \{\Delta(E(x_1), E(x_2))\}$ .
- Interpretations: Shannon theory deals with probabilistic error. Hamming with

adversarial error. Engineering need: Closer to Shannon theory. However Hamming theory provided solutions, since min. distance seemed easier to analyze than  $P_{\text{err}}$ .