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## Definition of Determinacy Race



- Atomization of Cilk program
- Efficiency $\dagger$ Size of transaction $\downarrow$
- Only if correctness is not affected
- Kai's definition:
- Atomic-thread atomization
- Detection: NP-complete

List Insertion (read \& write "head")

## Definition of Determinacy Race



## TERD Algorithm

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { read-read-1[1], } & \text { read-read-2[1], } \\
\text { read-write-1[1], } & \text { read-write-2[1], } \\
\text { writ-read-1[1], } & \text { write-read-2[1], } \\
\text { write-write-1[1], } & \text { write-write-2[1], } \\
\text { last-read[1], } & \text { last-parallel-read[1], } \\
\text { last-write[1], } & \text { last-parallel-write[l], } \\
\text { trans-id-read[1], } & \text { trans-id-write[1] }
\end{array}
$$

## Record access: 14 shadow spaces

## TERD Algorithm

Spawn procedure F:
$S_{F} \leftarrow \operatorname{Make-Set}(F)$

Sync in procedure F:
$S_{F} \leftarrow \operatorname{Union}\left(S_{F}, P_{F}\right)$ $P_{F} \leftarrow \varnothing$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Return from } F^{\prime} \text { to } F: \\
& \qquad P_{F} \leftarrow \operatorname{Union}\left(S_{F^{\prime}} P_{F^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Transaction_Begin:
Current-transaction-id ++

- Extension of SP-bags algorithm
- Disjoint-Set data structure


## TERD Algorithm

Read memory location 1 by Transaction $T$ Procedure $F$ : If (trans-id-read[l]!=T \&\& trans-id-write[1]!=T)
trans-id-read[l] $\leftarrow T$
Eval-Read (1, T, F)

Eval-Read (1,T,F)
// check and report determinacy race
// update record (shadow spaces)

## TERD Algorithm

```
write memory location l by Transaction T Procedure F:
    If (trans-id-write[l]!=T)
    trans-id-read[l] \leftarrowT
    trans-id-write[l] \leftarrowT
    Eval-Write (I,T,F)
Eval-Write (1,T,F)
    // check and report determinacy race
    // update record (shadow spaces)
```


## TERD Algorithm

## Basic idea:



## TERD Algorithm

$T$ : serial execution time
$v$ : number of shared locations being monitored
$\alpha:$ inverse of Ackermann's function
Time: $O(T \alpha(v, v))$
Space: $O(v)$

## Transactional Nondeterminator

## Implemented T.E.R.D. in Cilk runtime system

Cracked Cilk compiler "cilk2c"
Tested 15 times slowdown vs. serial execution

| Programs | Serial (no T.D) | Serial (with <br> T.D.) | Slowdown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fib (30) | 3.1 sec | 9.6 sec | 3.21 |
| C.K. $(5,8)$ | 2.2 sec | 31.2 sec | 14.18 |
| L.U. $(512 \times 512)$ | 1.1 sec | 10.6 sec | 9.63 |

## Transactional Cilk Performance

$T_{1}$ : total work for serial execution, parallel execution ???
$T_{\infty}$ : critical path length, parallel execution ???
Best case: no abort/retry, or abort/retry does not affect $T_{P}$
Worst case: $T_{1}$ (no parallelism, although many spawns)

# $T_{1} / P \gg T_{\infty}$ <br> Randomized Work-Stealing <br> $$
\rightarrow \text { Linear Speedup ??? }
$$ <br> <br> $\rightarrow$ Linear Speedup ??? 

 <br> <br> $\rightarrow$ Linear Speedup ???}

## Linear Speedup: Impossible
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## Linear Speedup: Impossible

There exists a transactional Cilk program with $T_{1}$ as the serial execution time and $T_{\infty}$ as the minimum time required by the execution of infinite number of processor, where $T_{\infty}$ is $O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)$, and $T_{l} / p \gg T_{\infty}$ the execution time on $p$ processor is greater or equal to $p^{1 / 2}\left(T_{I} / p\right)$ - not linear speedup

## Linear Speedup: Impossible

$p$ is total number of processors
$X_{n}$ is the number of working processors
$Y_{n}$ is the number of trapped processors
$n$ is from 1 to $T_{\infty}, X_{1}=1, Y_{1}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n}= \begin{cases}X_{n}+1 & 1-((p-2) /(p-1))^{p-X n-Y n} \\
X_{n} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& Y_{n}= \begin{cases}Y_{n} & \left(\left(p-X_{n}\right) /(p-1)\right)^{p-X n-Y n} \\
Y_{n}+1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear Speedup: Impossible

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[X_{n}\right]=-\mathbf{2 n} / \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{8}+\mathbf{n}^{2} / 16 p+n^{2} / 4 p^{2} \\
& \mathrm{n}=T_{\infty}=\mathbf{p}^{1 / 2} \\
& \mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}\right]=\mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{p}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \text { Note that, } \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Xn}] \text { always increasing }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conclusion \& Future Work

Determinacy race definition: Semantics?
Algorithm and data-structure for maintaining relationship between transactions: linear time

More Language features: inlet, wildcard, etc
Performance of transactional Cilk: ;

## Backup Slides

## Backup Slides

## TERD algorithm \& proof, lemma

## N -queens Problem

```
Cilk char *nqueens(char *board, int n, int row)
{ char *new_board;
    new_board = malloc(row+1);
    memcpy(new_board, board, row);
    for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
        new_board[row] = j;
        spawn nqueens (new_board, n, row+1);
        }
        sync;
}
```


## N -queens Problem

No blocking case

## N -queens Problem

blocking case
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## N -queens Problem

## summary

