Today

- Feedback Capacity
- Joint Source Channel Coding
- Start Continuous Channels

Admin

- PS3 due Thursday (04/12)
- Tuesday 4:15pm in 32-155 Venkat Guruswami "'Channel Coding..."'

Feedback Capacity

• Recall basic model of a channel



• In order to ask how well the channel performs, we apply an encoder and decoder

$$\underbrace{W_{1} \dots W_{k}}_{\text{Encoder}} \underbrace{X_{1} \dots X_{n}}_{\text{Channel}} \underbrace{Y_{1} \dots Y_{n}}_{\text{Decoder}} \underbrace{W_{1} \dots W_{k}}_{\text{Decoder}}$$

• Which more or less pins down exactly how the channel performs

$$C = p_x^{\max} I(X;Y)$$

How much capacity do we get with feedback?



- In other words, given Y_1, \ldots, Y_n what is the maximal R such that the receiver can compute $W_1, \ldots, W_{k=Rn}$ (where $w_i \in \{0, 1\}$) with a $P_error \to 0$
- Denote this maximal R to be the 'feedback channel capacity', C_{FB}
- It's obvious that if you just construct an encoder with zero feedback, you're able achieve at least C, ie $C_{FB} \ge C$
- Now the question remains: Is it possible to improve capacity with feedback? Short answer: No. Proving this shows the strength of Shannon's coding theorem.

Lemma 1 ($C_{FB} \leq C$)

- H(W) = Rn The entropy of W is fairly large
- $H(W|Y^n) \leq 1 + P_{error}Rn$. Fano's Inequality
- If $H(W|Y^n)$ wasn't small we wouldn't be able to calculate W, given Y
- These two points imply that Y^n contains a lot of information

$$I(W; Y^n) = H(W) - H(W|Y^n)$$

$$\geq R_n - 1 - P_e rror Rn$$

• $P_{error}Rn$ is vanishingly small

Question: Is $I(W; Y^n) \leq nC$?

$$I(W;Y^n) = H(Y^n) - H(Y^n|W)$$
(1)

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} H(Y_i) - H(Y^n | W) \tag{2}$$

 $Y_1 \ldots Y_{i-1}, W$ is enough to fully determine X_i :

$$H(Y^{n}|W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H(Y_{i}|Y_{1}...Y_{i-1},W)$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} H(Y_{i}|Y_{1}...Y_{i-1},W,X_{i})$
= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} H(Y_{i}|X_{i})$

Then from (2)

$$I(W; Y^n) \le \sum_{i=1}^n H(Y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n H(Y_i|X_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_i; X_i)$$
$$= nC$$

Conclusion: Feedback doesn't contribute to capacity

- Previously we always looked at either
 - Uniform distributions on the source with a noisy channel
 - Clean channels with non-uniform sources
- We have now learned enough to combine non-uniform source with a noisy channel
- Simply need to look at the rate of the source, and the capacity of the channel. Then compare R and C
 - Apply compression algorithm to the source
 - Apply channel coding algorithm





Joint Source-Channel Coding Theorem

- If $W_1 \dots W_k$ is produced by source \mathscr{W} with entropy rate $H(\mathscr{W}) \to ($ source satisfies AEP)
- and if it's on a DMC with capacity ${\cal C}$
 - Then communication is possible with $P_{error} \to 0$ iff $H(\mathscr{W}) < C$
- After n steps of the source, it's producing on a uniform distribution of size $2^{H(\mathcal{W})n}$
- This concludes our discussion of the DMC

Continuous Channels

- We will begin by looking at a very simple channel
 - Input to channel X: [-1, 1] (Real number)
 - Output of channel Y: Real number
 - Looking at the simplest case: noiseless channel (ie X = Y)
- Can't look at this in our typical manner because we can't define a finite alphabet to describe either input or output
- However, since X = Y, our channel capacity is apparently infinite
- What makes the channel capacity finite is the existance of noise
- Adding noise Z to our model
 - -Y = X + Z
 - -Z is uniform over $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ and independent of X
 - Divide input into intervals of 2ϵ 'discretize it'
 - Then $C \ge \log(1/\epsilon)$
 - We will prove that the capacity is less than infinite in the future

Continuous Random Variables

- X is a real-valued r.v.
- $f_X(x) \rightarrow$ Probability Density Function (PDF)
- $F_X(x) \rightarrow \text{Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)}$
 - $-F_X(x) = \Pr[X \le x] = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(t)dt$
 - Monotonic, nondecreasing
- Given



- It is clear that just the pdf of the r.v. is not particularly revealing. However, comparing X and Z, one can certainly intuit that X is 'more random' than Z. How, then, do we quantitatively compute that?
- Because this is not as easy to interpret:



• X_{ϵ} : X discretized X by intervals of length $\epsilon, Y_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}$



- $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \{ H(X_{\epsilon}) H(Y_{\epsilon}) \}$?
- Say we partition ϵ lots more: $\epsilon \to \frac{\epsilon}{2^l}$
- $\rightarrow H(\frac{X_{\epsilon}}{2^{l}}) \approx l + H(X_{\epsilon})$
- and the same thing is happening to Y
- For X+Y, $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \{H(X_{\epsilon}) H(Y_{\epsilon})\}$ is well-behaved, but we want a quantity that only depends on X. For X alone what should we use as H(X)?

Differential Entropy

- $H(X) \triangleq \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \{H(X_{\epsilon}) f(\epsilon)\}$
- (We're going to be measuring against something like a baseline distribution)

$$f(\frac{\epsilon}{2^{t}}) = l + f(\epsilon) \to f(\epsilon) = \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$$

then $H(X) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \{H(X_{\epsilon}) + \log \epsilon\}$

• Written in terms of the pdfs:

$$H(X) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \log[f_X(x)] dx$$

Examples

Example 1 - Entropy of the Uniform Distribution

$$X = \text{uniform}(a, b)$$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{b-a} \text{ if } a \le x \le b, \text{ 0 otherwise}$$

$$H(X) = -\int_a^b \frac{1}{b-a} \log(\frac{1}{b-a}) dx = \log(b-a)$$

• Not scale invariant.

Example 2 - Entropy of a Gaussian

$$X = N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \text{ if } a \le x \le b, 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

$$H(X) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \log f_X(x) dx$$

$$H(X) = \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi \exp \sigma^2)$$

• Logarithmic in the variance

Future Lectures

- Try to understand how differential entropy behaves
- Look at AEP/LLN in this setting
- Continuous channels and how dif. entropy and mutual information play a role in determining capacity